WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.			
Ezequiel Ramos-Mendoza		quiel Ramos-Mendoza	Case Number:	15-9119MJ	
was pre	esent an		by a preponderance of the evidence	been submitted to the Court. Defendant ce that the defendant is a serious flight	
I find by	/ a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
, , , ,	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
					
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Ar	izona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing f	rom law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of years in	nprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. 				
DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION					
pending order of	rections g appeal f a court shall deli	facility separate, to the extent practical. The defendant shall be afforded a result of the United States or on request of extent the defendant to the United States	able, from persons awaiting or serves easonable opportunity for private of an attorney for the Government, the Marshal for the purpose of an app		
proceed	Ū		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	District Court it is sourced by responsibility	
District from the objection	er a cop Court. I e date of	y of the motion for review/reconsiderary or suant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM. If service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file	tion to Pretrial Services at least on P., effective December 1, 2009, De r the oral order is stated on the rec	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility e day prior to the hearing set before the efendant shall have fourteen (14) days cord within which to file specific written e 59(a) may waive the right to review.	
	Service	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release s sufficiently in advance of the hearing vestigate the potential third party cust	before the District Court to allow		
DATE:	Ma	rch 30, 2015	8	Swillett Eileen S. Willett	